

Maximal number of subword occurrences in a word

Wenjie Fang
LIGM, Université Gustave Eiffel
arXiv:2406.02971

AofA 2024, 17 June 2024, University of Bath

Subword occurrences

A **word**: $w = (w_1, \dots, w_\ell)$ with w_i in a finite **alphabet** \mathcal{A} .

Two notions of patterns: **subword** (scattered) and **factor** (consecutive)

Example: 01 occurs 5 times as subword in 011001, but twice as factor

Counting pattern occurrences: harder for **subwords**, easier for factors

Occurrence of u in w : a subset of positions in w that gives u

$\text{occ}(w, u)$ or $\binom{w}{u}$: number of occurrences of u as subword of w

Flajolet, Szpankowski, Vallée (2006): normal limit law and large deviation of $\text{occ}(w, u)$ for fixed u and $w \sim \text{Unif}(A^n)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Quite some research in many directions! Difficulty from **self-correlation**.

Subword entropy

Given $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$, what are its **most frequent subwords**?

Related to data-mining for finding patterns appearing frequently.

Surprisingly difficult! **Complexity unknown**.

$\text{maxocc}(w) := \max_u \text{occ}(w, u)$: maximal number of subword occurrences

Subword entropy: $S_{\text{sw}}(w) := \log_2 \text{maxocc}(w)$:

Easy to maximize: $\text{maxocc}(0^n) = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$, $S_{\text{sw}}(0^n) = n + O(\log_2 n)$.

Minimal subword entropy for $|\mathcal{A}| = k$, length n :

$$\min S_{\text{sw}}^{(k)}(n) := \min_{u \in \mathcal{A}^n} S_{\text{sw}}(w).$$

The first bounds for $\min S_{\text{sw}}^{(k)}(n)$

Trivial upper bound (from 0^n): for some constant c ,

$$\min S_{\text{sw}}^{(k)}(n) \leq n - \frac{1}{2} \log_2 n + c.$$

Easy lower bound: for some constant c' ,

$$\min S_{\text{sw}}^{(k)}(n) \geq \log_2(1 + k^{-1})n - \frac{1}{2} \log_2 n + c'.$$

Reasoning: For any fixed w , take random word u of length αn . Then

$$S_{\text{sw}}(w) \geq \log_2 \mathbb{E}[\text{occ}(w, u)] = \log_2 \left(\binom{n}{\alpha n} k^{-\alpha n} \right).$$

Maximized at $\alpha = (k + 1)^{-1}$. **Holds for all w .**

Super-additivity

Proposition (Super-additivity of $\min S_{\text{sw}}^{(k)}$)

Given $k \geq 2$, for $n, m \geq 1$,

$$\min S_{\text{sw}}^{(k)}(n + m) \geq \min S_{\text{sw}}^{(k)}(n) + \min S_{\text{sw}}^{(k)}(m).$$

Not difficult, but a little twist!

Lemma (Fekete's lemma)

For (g_n) super-additive, when $n \rightarrow +\infty$, then g_n/n either tends to $+\infty$, or converges to some limit L .

Corollary

The *minimal subword entropy per letter* $\min S_{\text{sw}}^{(k)}(n)/n$ has a limit L_k :

$$\log_2(1 + k^{-1}) \leq L_k \leq 1.$$

Better bounds?

Binary words with minimal entropy

When no idea, **brute force!**

Very hard... Start with the binary case.

n	Words w with min. subword entropy	$\max_{\text{occ}}(w)$	Symmetry
1	0	1	P
2	01	1	A
3	001	2	
4	0110	2	P
5	01110	3	P
6	011001	5	A
7	0110001	6	
8	01110001	9	A
9	011000110	16	P
10	0110001110	22	
11	01110001110	33	P
12	011000111001	52	A
13	0111001001110	72	P
14	01100010111001	108	A
15	011000101110001	162	

Binary words with minimal entropy (cont'd)

Interesting, some more!

n	Words w with min. subword entropy	$\max_{occ}(w)$	Symmetry
16	0111000101110001	252	A
17	01100011111000110	390	P
18	011100100101110001	588	
19	0110001011101000110 0110001110110001110	900	P
20	01110001011011000110	1320	
21	011100011011010001110	2049	
22	0110001110101000111001	2958	A
23	01110001011011010001110	4473	P
24	011000111010101000111001	6979	A
25	0111000101101101000111001	10602	
26	01110001011011001000111001	15962	
27	011100010101110101000111001	24150	
28	0110001111010010010111000110 0111000101110101000101110001	36450	A
29	01100011101010001010111000110	53671	P
30	011000111001100010101111000110	83862	

Binary words with minimal entropy (cont'd 2)

Confusing... A last push!

n	Words w with min. subword entropy	$\max_{\text{occ}}(w)$	Symmetry
31	0110001110101000101011110001110	127998	
32	01100011101010001010111010001110	189131	
33	011000111101010001011011010001110	288900	
34	0110001110101000101011101001001110	442386	
35	01110001011011001000110111001001110	681966	

The last line took 11 days on a single core.

Naïve complexity: $O(4^n n^2)$. A lot of optimizations needed.

Observations

- For larger n , symmetry runs out.
- Average run length 1.6–2, mostly 1, 2, 3, but length 4 and 5 exist.
- Growth rate slightly larger than 1.5 given by lower bound of L_2 .

Idea: Find words like them, but analyzable.

Three families inspired by experiments

Average run length slightly less than 2. Most runs have length 1, 2, 3.

Candidates: $(01)^m$, $(0011)^m$, $(000111)^m$.

Proposition

The following words has a most frequent subword of the form

- $(01)^m$: *subword* $(01)^r$;
- $(0011)^m$: *subword* $(01)^r$;
- $(000111)^m$: *subword* $(0011)^r$.

With **local analysis** in subword.

Key result for analysis, as most frequent subwords are **hard to compute!**

Experimentally, periodic words have periodic most frequent subwords.

But no proof!

Generating functions of periodic subword occurrences

Occurrence generating function: $f_{w,u}(x, y) = \sum_{m,r \geq 0} \text{occ}(w^m, u^r) x^m y^r$

Proposition

$$f_{01,01} = \frac{1-x}{(1-x)^2 - xy},$$

$$f_{0011,01} = \frac{1-x}{(1-x)^2 - 4xy},$$

$$f_{000111,0011} = \frac{(1-x)^3}{(1-x)^4 - 9x(1+2x)^2y}.$$

$\max \text{occ}(w^m) = \max_r [x^m y^r] f_{w,u}$ for these families.

In fact a **universal and effective** result!

Theorem

For any words $w, v \in \mathcal{A}^$, the g.f. $f_{w,v}(x, y)$ is rational in x, y .*

Problem is that **we don't know the most frequent subwords...**

Asymptotics and bounds on L_2

Proposition

Word w	Subword	Max at	$S_{\text{sw}}(w)$
$(01)^m$	$(01)^r$	$r = \frac{m}{\sqrt{5}}$	$m \log_2 \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} + \frac{\log_2 m}{2} + O(1)$
$(0011)^m$	$(01)^r$	$r = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}$	$m \log_2(3 + 2\sqrt{2}) + \frac{\log_2 m}{2} + O(1)$
$(000111)^m$	$(0011)^r$	$r = \alpha m$	$m\gamma - \frac{\log_2 m}{2} + O(1)$

Here, $\alpha \approx 0.66\dots$ is the pos. sol. of $457\alpha^4 - 246\alpha^2 + 72\alpha - 27 = 0$, and

$$\gamma = \alpha \log_2 9 + 2\alpha \log_2 \frac{1 + 2\zeta}{(1 - \zeta)^2} - (1 - \alpha) \log_2 \zeta,$$

$$\zeta = \frac{1 - 9\alpha + \sqrt{73\alpha^2 - 18\alpha + 9}}{4 + 4\alpha}.$$

The last needs (automated) ACSV or saddle-point on large powers.

Upper bounds of L_2 : 0.694..., 0.636..., 0.654....

We have $0.585\dots = \log_2(3/2) \leq L_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \log_2(1 + \sqrt{2}) = 0.636\dots$

Open problems

- Value of L_2 ? Value of other L_k ? Better bounds?
- Does periodic word have a quasi-periodic most frequent subword?
- Any structure on words almost realizing $\min S_{sw}^{(k)}(n)$?

Difficult “minimal of maximal” structure, **chaos** in experimental data

A lot of unknowns, even intuitive ones!

- Is $\min S_{sw}^{(k)}(n)/n$ ultimately increasing?
- For any w , is every most frequent subword is of length $\leq |w|/2$?

Open problems

- Value of L_2 ? Value of other L_k ? Better bounds?
- Does periodic word have a quasi-periodic most frequent subword?
- Any structure on words almost realizing $\min S_{sw}^{(k)}(n)$?

Difficult “minimal of maximal” structure, chaos in experimental data

A lot of unknowns, even intuitive ones!

- Is $\min S_{sw}^{(k)}(n)/n$ ultimately increasing?
- For any w , is every most frequent subword is of length $\leq |w|/2$?

Thank you for your attention!